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**AFFAIR OF THE PLACARDS**

One of the most dramatic and influential events of the early stages of Reform in France—the notorious "Affair of the Placards"—occurred during the night of October 17, 1534. In the city of Paris as well as in other principal centers—including Orleans, Blois, Tours, and Rouen—placards proclaiming "Articles veritables sur les horribles, grandz et importables abuz de la Messe papalle" were posted in highly visible public venues, including the door of the king's own bedchamber at the Château d'Amboise. The putative author of the placard, Antoine de Marcourt, was a member of the French evangelical-humanist avant-garde in the circle of Guillaume Briconnet, otherwise known as the "cercle" or "groupe de Meaux." Members of the circle had lately come to view Martin Luther's proposals for reform of the church and its teachings with considerable favor. Marcourt's placard served to focus the reformers' mounting criticism of traditional religion on the ritual central to the church's own practice and self-understanding in the form of a direct appeal to popular judgment. In a classic early instance of challenge to the reigning paradigm of "representative publicity," Marcourt's appeal to public opinion in his attack on the doctrine of the Mass was interpreted by both the religious and civil establishment not only as a challenge to received church dogma, but also, owing to the provocative manner of its publication, as a direct assault on the authority of the monarchy itself. King Francis, the Archbishop of Paris, the doctors of the Sorbonne, and other leading clergy of the realm closed ranks and together mounted a swift and ferocious response aimed at quelling this upstart attempt to sway public opinion over the heads of the establishment. Many of the religious reformers associated with criticism of the church and the traditional teachings were either executed for sedition or driven into exile. Marcourt himself fled France along with other leading members of the circle of reformers, including Jean Calvin. In a dramatic and equally public response to the placards, Francis and his court processed solemnly...
through the streets of the capital, the ritual purpose of which was to
purify the capital from the “pollution” of the placards. Francis himself
processed beneath a canopy carried by peers of the realm in the place
where onlookers would normally expect to view the Host in a Corpus
Christi procession; thereby the establishment underscored the intimate
association of monarchical and sacramental “presence” and linked in
dramatic fashion the definition of representative publicity with funda-
mental ontological claims.4

The posting of Marcourt’s placard and the official response to it both
provide theatrical manifestations of prominent sixteenth-century impulses
to define religious identity through direct popular appeal. Marcourt
evidently hoped that by publicizing his evangelical objections to the doctrine
and practice of the Mass he would win over his fellow subjects to the cause
of religious reform. Through this attempt to bring about a popular reform
of French religious identity on the basis of argumentation and interpretation
in public space unconstrained by the official imprimatur of crown, church,
and university, the posting of the placards exemplifies an early expression
of what has been called the early modern “culture of persuasion”—namely,
the endeavor to address the “public” through an appeal to “public rea-
on” in aid of achieving a manifestly “public good.”5 Indeed, in the context
of the explosive political dimension of the ensuing confrontation with the
political and religious establishment of France, the “Affair of the Placards”
neatly illustrates an early instance of direct challenge to what Habermas
has identified as “representative publicity” (here embodied in the author-
ity of crown and church) by an emerging “public sphere” grounded in a
rhetoric of popular persuasion developed by a particular group of religious
activists.6

Prior to the posting of the placards, Francis I had shown considerable
favor toward les évangélistes and the reforming humanists of the groupe
de Meaux; both he and his sister Marguerite of Navarre had shown marked
favor toward les évangeliques and the reforming humanists of the graupe
of distinction emerge between the moderate humanism of the Erasmian
reformers and more radical “sacramentarlan” Protestants. By calling for
radical religious reform through an open appeal to popular judgment, Mar-
court played a key role in precipitating a controversy that was to alter de-
cisively (and perhaps irrevocably) the course of the Reformation in France.
The posting of Marcourt’s placard in 1534 is without doubt a key dramatic
episode in the unfolding of the Reformation in France, and serves to high-
light the emergence in the early modern period of a new and popular sense
of “public” over against a much older and hieratic sense embodied in the
institutions of monarch and church.

MEANWHILE, ACROSS THE ENGLISH CHANNEL . . .

Forty years ago in his magisterial study English Humanists and Reforma-
tion Politics, James McConica observed that the English reform movement
under Henry VIII and Edward VI is closely bound to the complexities of
pan-European currents of religious reform: “The closer the examination,”
claims McConica, “the more apparent is the difficulty of separating Eng-
lish developments from those on the Continent.”7 Particular support for
this claim can be discerned in the history of the publication in English
translation of tracts by Marcourt. The first wave of French radical evangeli-
cal propaganda swept across La Manche and up the Thames estuary in the
summer of 1534—in the same year as the Affair of the Placards. On August
24 an anonymous English translation of Marcourt’s rollicking Rabelaisian
spoof on ecclesiastical abuses was published in London by Thomas God-
fray under the title The Boke of Marchauntes.8 This was almost twelve
months to the day from the publication of the original French text of Le
livre des marchans by Pierre de Vingle in Neuchâtel.9 In her landmark
study Antoine Marcourt: reformateur et pamphlétaire, Gabrielle Berthoud
observes that Le livre des marchans is Marcourt’s most popular and best-
known work.10 In his assumed Rabelaisian identity—namely, “the lorde
Pantapole, right expert in suche busynesse, nere neyghbour vnto the lorde
Pantagrule”—Marcourt sets himself up as the one who sells all—celui qui
“vend de tout”—the wholesaler, as it were, who seeks to undercut the
ecclesiastical “middleman.” In this allusion Marcourt’s satirical form and
the evangelical intent are fused together. According to the central thrust of
the satire, the entrepreneurial role of the priestly “merchant” was that of
a retailer, whose task is to distribute the spiritual “goods” of divine grace
incrementally.

In the early 1530s England was in the throes of radical constitutional
transformation. Henry’s chief minister, Chancellor Thomas Cromwell,
simultaneously managed both the intricacies of the legislative program and
a highly sophisticated propaganda campaign through the press in support
of the reformist constitutional agenda then before Parliament.11 Cromwell’s
use of the printing press obviously targets growth of a public favorable to
reform through maximum possible exposure of an argument. Such a reli-
gious public may have as its goal the creation of a new, reformed religious
establishment—which was in fact attained in England under Edward VI
(1547–1553), but not so in France under Edward’s contemporary, Henri
II (1547–1559). In the case of England the emergent public sympathetic to
religious reform comes to be situated, at least temporarily, within the field
of royal power, in large part owing to the political success of the reform;
it is an arrangement that suggests well the social mobility and organiza-
tional flexibility of public making. On the other hand, lack of political suc-
cess may arguably have had the effect of the institutionalizing a dissenting
public of like-minded people in France—that is, the Huguenots—whose self-organizing field of discourse might-aspire to grow but would remain forever under the threat of the dominant political power. Comparison of the radically differing receptions of Marcourt in France and England can therefore offer instruction on the question of the effects of growth and respectability on an emerging public. Over time, a public formed out of religious dissent may (or may not, as the case may be) find itself absorbed into the established forms of institutional life.

A PUBLIC OF PUBLISHERS ON THE FRINGES OF THE COURT

Close linkage of the publishing trade to the corridors of power was intrinsic to the success of Henry VIII's constitutional revolution. It has been argued that many of the pamphlets of the early 1530s epitomize the substance of the constitutional legislation passed by the Reformation Parliament. Thomas Godfray's list of published titles suggests that he was evidently an important player in Thomas Cromwell's circle, a group that also included printers Robert Redman, John Mychell, and Thomas Berthelet. Godfray published numerous books that contributed directly to the advancement of Cromwell's propaganda campaign and were associated with some of the principal prophets and propagandists of the Tudor revolution, including William Tyndale, John Frith, Christopher St. German, William Marshall, and Clement Armstrong, most of whom embraced theological opinions anathema to the king. This circle of authors, translators, and their common publisher, Godfray, composed a voluntary association—a public—consisting of what we might call the Tudor evangelical avant-garde whose main object was to prod the government to move toward a radical political break with the Roman hierarchy and to a theological break with the old religion. Cromwell's circle faced the problem of Henry's ready acceptance of the former goal but his reluctance to proceed with the latter.

The radical reformers whose works and translations were published by Godfray could hardly be said to be involved in the enterprise principally for money or even preferment. Indeed the evidence suggests that King Henry looked askance at the more radical literary productions of the evangelical radicals, and some of the group were actually hounded to their deaths by official government policy. The tension between Cromwell's own more advanced Protestant position and the king's religious conservatism helps to explain the chancellor's employment of a semiofficial press attached to Cromwell's interest but not having the direct imprint of the royal printer. Nonetheless, in a letter to Cromwell, William Marshall indicates that he is relying on Cromwell's promise of a subsidy of twenty pounds for printing his translation of Marsilius of Padua's treatise on constitutional theory in support of royal supremacy. While Marshall was well known for his advanced Protestant opinions, there was certainly a cash nexus here for a "grubstreet" translator promoting the Crown's interest.

Godfray published twenty titles sporadically between the years 1530 and 1536. Among those that link him in diverse ways to the reforming interest are two important works by William Tyndale, The Obedience of a Christian Man and Pathway into the Holy Scripture, both unequivocally evangelical pieces by the great translator of the Bible. Tyndale's treatise on obedience draws an explicit connection between evangelical teaching...
concerning justification by faith alone and divinely derived authority exercised by the godly prince over both church and commonwealth. Richard Rex has shown that Tyndale had a critical influence on the chief propagandists of the Henrician regime, especially through his demonstration of the theological ground of the royal supremacy. Rex maintains that "Tyndale's primary motive in writing Obedience was to defend the new learning against the charge that 'it causeth insurrection and teacheth people to disobey their heads and governors, and moveth them to rise against their prince.'" In a vein of argument closely analogous to Tyndale's political theology, the Boke of Marchauntes launches an impassioned appeal to the secular rulers to correct the abuses of the clergy. Marcourt urges that the care of religion be taken under the direct control of the civil power as the corrective for long-standing ecclesiastical abuses. Common lawyer and political theorist of the Tudor state Christopher St. German was another key player in Cromwell's circle of religious and constitutional reformers. St. German's sustained literary attack on the papacy resulted in a series of pamphlets with an increasingly sharp edge. While several of St. German's contributions to the propaganda campaign were published by the king's printer, Thomas Berthelet, one of the common lawyer's more strident pieces, An Answer to a Letter, was farmed out for publication in 1535 by Thomas Godfray. In An Answer St. German sets out to redefine the nature of the church in a manner consistent with the king's claim to the plenitudo potestatis. Not only do kings exercise the "cure of souls" but they are also the final arbiters of both doctrine and the interpretation of the scriptures. In his appeal to the model of the virtuous Old Testament kings whose care was for both the honor of God and the good governance of the people, St. German's polemic is in accord with the approach taken by Tyndale, St. German, and other leading Tudor propagandists of the royal supremacy. In the case of another propagandist of Cromwell's circle, Clement Armstrong, Ethan Shagan has suggested that religious radicalism is by no means necessarily opposed to authoritarian political theology. Like Marcourt, Armstrong was a full-blown sacramentarian opponent of the Mass—an extremely radical position when compared to the more limited reform of the 1530s and 1540s. Shagan has shown how Harry Wives' Antipapal maneuvers of the early 1530s were so prominent as to be "the voice of public religious protest, whether it was heard or not." This public confession of common purpose between author and intended audience served to promote radical doctrinal reform. That Marcourt's writings were also published by Godfray—challenges deeply rooted historiographical assumptions about the Reformations in both England and France.
pamphleteers and publishers at the margin of the English Court, while in France the voice of religious protest is compelled to flee the realm for the safety of foreign parts.

A generation later in Actes and Monuments, John Foxe mentions the Boke of Marchauntes as having been included in a list of books prohibited by Henry VIII in a Proclamation issued in 1546 two years after the theology faculty of Paris had issued France's first index of prohibited books. Accompanied by a list of other avant-garde evangelical writings by such reformers as Miles Coverdale, George Joye, William Tyndale, John Frith, William Turner, and Robert Barnes, among others, The Boke of Marchauntes was publicly consigned to a bonfire at Paul's Cross. The Proclamation classifies the books into order according to author and includes The Boke of Marchauntes within a subsection of titles attributed to William Turner including his notorious satire The huntyng & fyndyng out of the Romishe fox and his translation of A comparison betwene the olde learrnyng and the newe, a French edition of the latter having been published also by Pierre de Vingle in Neuchâtel in 1534. This Proclamation in Bonner's Register may well be our best contemporary clue to the identity of the translator. It is interesting to note that the item immediately preceding The Boke of Marchauntes in the list of prohibited books attached to the Royal Injunction is The Summe of the holye Scripture, an English translation of De Summa der Godeliker Scrifturen. Originally composed in Dutch and published in Leiden in 1523, this work has been attributed to Henricus Bomelius (or Hendrik von Bommel), an evangelical preacher in the region of the Lower Rhine and pastor of the Brethren of the Common Life. An English translation is attributed to another evangelical firebrand, Simon Fish, author of the popular evangelical satire Supplicacyon for the beggars, first circulated in the spring of 1529 and a copy of which Anne Boleyn is said to have presented to Henry VIII. Both Simon Fish and John Frith were engaged in polemics with Sir Thomas More concerning the doctrine of purgatory, and thus serve to highlight the developing rift between Erasmian humanist and radical evangelical approaches to religious reform. And, as Isabelle Crevier-Denommé has shown, the French translation, published by Vingle under the title Summe de l'escripture saincte, provides yet another instance of links between England and Marcourt's publisher in Neuchâtel. Here again we witness the impossibility of separating developments in the course of the Reformation in England from events on the continent, and the existence of an engaged public that transcends national and linguistic boundaries.

McConica's claim in English Humanists and Reformation Politics that the humanist evangelicals of Henry's reign "declined the general heterodoxy of the Protestant reformers" and embraced the middle way of Erasmian moderation as "the very formula of the Henrician Church" does seem now a rather unlikely reading of the rough-and-tumble polemical environment that witnessed the making public of Marcourt's satire. Thomas Godfray's press played a key role in Cromwell's antipapal campaign from 1533 onward, and the Boke of Marchauntes was one among numerous publications by French evangelical radicals enlisted in support of Thomas Cromwell's strategy for constitutional and religious reform. England's reformist humanism had its "evangelical moment" in the 1530s and then reverted to a more consciously conservative mode in the decade following. From the fall of Thomas Cromwell in 1540 until the death of Henry in January 1547, the Church of England came to be dominated by the spirit of a conservative Erasmian humanism such as it had known prior to 1533, while during the same period of the middle 1540s France witnessed a severe repression of heresy and rigorous enforcement of Catholic orthodoxy. With the accession of Edward VI, "the young Josiah," the climate shifted once again, and the Boke of Marchauntes was resurrected phoenixlike from the ashes in its second English edition only months after perishing in the flames at Paul's Cross. Within a year continental evangelical theologians Martin Bucer from Strasbourg and the Florentine Peter Martyr Vermigl would be installed in Cambridge and Oxford respectively as the king's professors of divinity. Those in France who longed for a ruler who would emulate the idol-smashing boy-king of ancient Judah would have to wait until the accession of Francis II in 1559 and then Charles IX in 1560 only to have their hopes of a thorough reform of church and doctrine dashed in the wake of the Colloquy of Poissy (1561).

THE POPULAR VOICE OF RELIGIOUS REFORM

The tide of religious reform was far from attaining equilibrium on either side of the Channel. There was a virtual flood of evangelical propaganda in England in the 1530s. Unlike France where, after the Day of the Placards in October 1534, every attempt is made by government to squelch the voice of reform, the Tudor regime is willing to allow this voice a hearing, though at a respectable distance from the Crown. The evangelical circle around Thomas Cromwell acquires a voice through various presses, those of a more moderate tone through the royal printer and those of a more radical bent through presses with only quasi-official sanction, as with the press of Thomas Godfray, publisher of Marcourt's satire. In the case of the French printer Pierre de Vingle, on the other hand, while forced expulsion from the realm of France creates a more negative delimitation of the actual site of production, the pamphlets are nonetheless distributed to an eager French-reading public wherever they may be found. Indeed it is especially interesting to observe the broadly international dimension of mid-sixteenth-century religious propaganda. Tracts appearing in Germany, Holland, and France are translated and appear in England in very short order. The public in search of religious transformation transcends national, political, and linguistic boundaries, and thus presses beyond the limits
imposed by individual states. The virtual public space of popular religious reform becomes increasingly international in scope as the sixteenth century unfolds.

What then are the leading characteristics of the nascent public of avant-garde evangelicals at the periphery of King Henry VIII’s court in the 1530s, the public that has its center in the group of propagandists associated with the circle of Thomas Cromwell? The avant-garde circle around Thomas Cromwell seeks a reform of both the church and the civil constitution, but does so from outside the established institutions themselves by means of persuasion in the popular press. The English press of the 1530s arguably denotes an emergent civil society with competing ideologies, a situation owing in large part to the fluctuating state of official religious policy at the time in Parliament and at court. The fall of Cromwell in 1540 is accompanied by a spectacular drop in the volume of polemical books published. It is not until after the accession of the Protestant Edward VI in 1547 that the volume of publication regains the level attained in the mid-1530s. In this we have a rough indicator of the Crown’s power to permit or to suppress an incipient public discourse founded upon religious dissent.

Their common interest is in the promotion of radical constitutional and religious agenda of reform through the agency of semiofficial printers, including Thomas Godfray. The political dimension of the propaganda machine in Cromwell’s circle of religious radicals is fairly self-evident. It might be argued that the overtly political purpose of religious persuasion is so explicit as to disqualify the web of friendship, patronage, and personal connection to which a printer like Thomas Godfray is attached from identification as a disinterested public, although it would certainly be difficult to label this phenomenon without appealing to some analogous category. It would certainly be a mistake to exclude an explicit political dimension to all species of early modern publics when some clearly appear either in the process of the dissolution of one establishment or in the inauguration of another—such as is the case of England in the mid-1530s and later throughout the reign of Edward VI.

The evangelical radicals in both England and France are unquestionably engaged, to borrow a key idea from Charles Taylor, in a very elaborate exercise of social imagining. Marcourt, moreover, imagines the benefits of religion taken under the care of the civil power. The Boke of Marchaunts proposes such radical constitutional revision based on evangelical religious reform. In doing so, the author has set himself outside established institutional structures. The preferred mode of revolution is through the printed word—the tracts, the placards, the subversive religious songs. The aim is to achieve constitutional revolution through persuasion—a frequent epigraph on books published by Vingle, Marcourt’s original publisher in Neuchâtel, is “lisez et puis jugez.”

As we have seen, one of Godfray’s notable publications was the English translation of Antoine de Marcourt’s Rabelaisian spoof on ecclesiastical hierarchy, first secretly published in French by Pierre de Vingle at Neuchâtel in October 1533. The characteristic medium of the evangelical avant-garde is print. However, this allows diverse modes of expression including theological tracts (e.g., Marcourt’s Declaration of the Masse), satire (Boke of Marchaunts, Tyndale’s Parable of the Wicked Mammon), sermons, carols and hymns (Noelz nouveaux), and “picture books” with wood-cut images (Les faictz du Jesus Christ et du pape).

The press of Thomas Godfray thus constitutes a “semiofficial” public functioning in fairly close proximity to the court through its association with the circle of Chancellor Thomas Cromwell, but does not possess the official status of “king’s printer.” The point of publishing radical evangelical and political tracts by those close to the seat of power was to influence the constitutional course of events through what Michael Warner characterizes as a self-organized field of discourse. The field is open in principle to strangers on the condition that they subscribe to the tenets promoted by the public in question. It may well be ephemeral in view of its ultimate prospects for success—those who find themselves at the fringes of political power (such as the evangelical radicals of Cromwell’s circle in the 1530s) may find themselves forming a new Establishment over time (such as in fact was accomplished by them at the accession of Edward VI). To become the establishment in no way detracts from its erstwhile status as a public at an earlier stage. This exemplifies the diachronic nature of publics: they are not static entities, but come into being and can evolve into something that may no longer be a public in a formal sense.

CONCLUSION

Throughout England’s radical religious and constitutional transformation of the 1530s, Henry’s chief minister Thomas Cromwell simultaneously managed both the intricacies of the legislative program and a highly sophisticated propaganda campaign through pulpit and press in support of the constitutional agenda before Parliament. The substance of the pamphlets of the early 1530s in many respects epitomizes the legislation passed by the Reformation Parliament. What, then, is the significance of Cromwell’s resorting to private presses in order to justify a new definition of religious identity? The older order defined by monarchical and ecclesiastical “representative publicity” is no longer assumed as simply hegemonic, but must give an account of itself by reasoned argument; there is a revolutionary break with long-held assumptions concerning the nature of the church and its relation to political power, of the relation between religion and the primary social structures, and also of the relation between the conscience of the individual subject and constituted political authority. The crucial element in this new relation is especially evident in the perceived need for the encouragement of a public campaign of persuasion. As with Marcourt’s ill-fated placard,
such a campaign assumes that the moral force of religious identity rests not upon its intrinsic given-ness, but rather upon active recognition, assent, and embrace by its adherents. The importance of subjective appropriation of the new framework—namely through persuasion—and the consequent definition of the communal identity have become intrinsic to the subsistence of that framework. This is the sense of the importance attached to a "culture of persuasion" and to Cromwell's avant-garde "public" of propagandist, printers, and publishers who largely brought it into existence.
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